

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	_ [ _ ;	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/727,906		12/04/2003	Alan Wayne Kohr	A01326	7555
21898	7590	03/15/2006		EXAMINER	
ROHM AN		S COMPANY		KRUER, I	CEVIN R
		E MALL WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADEL	PHIA, P	A 19106-2399		1773	
				DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

"		•	J
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/727,906	KOHR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin R. Kruer	1773	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	*
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [2]  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 .	January 2006.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims +			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-10</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-10 is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1and 3-5</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		*	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	1(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documen</li> </ol>	ts have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documen</li></ol>			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		received in this National Stage	`
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/727,906 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-5, in the reply filed on January 5, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. The examiner notes that claims 6-10 must be included in all subsequently filed claim appendixes even though said claims are currently withdrawn.

# **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1 and 3-5 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of copending Application No. 10/727907. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed coating composition anticipates the pending claims.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The rejection of claims 1 and 3-5 under 35 USC 102(a) as being anticipated by Olson et al (US 6,472,027) has been overcome by amendment. Claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 2.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson et al (US 6,472,027) in view of JP07188345A (herein referred to as Lion).

Olson teaches a UV-cured floor finish that can be removed from a floor by applying to the cured finish an inhomogeneous stripper composition (abstract). The UV-cured floor comprises an acrylate coating such as those taught in US 5,773,487 (col 2, lines 20+). The coating may be applied as two or more coats (col 6, lines 24+).

Olson teaches the strippable coating may comprise UV-curable epoxy acrylate coatings (col 2, lines 20+), but does not teach the claimed coating. However, Lion teaches an epoxy acrylate floor coating composition comprising 1-20wt% of an ethylenically unsaturated monomer having an oxirane group, 7-23wt% ethylenically

Application/Control Number: 10/727,906

**Art Unit: 1773** 

unsaturated carboxylic group, and 15-87wt% c1-10 alkyl acrylic and/or methacrylic esters (abstract). Said coating has good water resistance, chemical resistance, and gloss. Thus, it would have been obvious to utilize the coating taught in Lion as the strippable UV epoxy acrylate coating taught in Olson. The motivation for doing so would have been because said coating has good gloss, water resistance, and chemical resistance.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 6, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the examiner has not met his burden with regard to the obviousness rejection under 35 USC 103(a) because the UV epoxy acrylate coating cannot be combined with the coating in Lion to obtain Applicant's invention. Applicant provides no further explanation as to why said coatings "cannot be combined." Thus, the examiner is unable to further respond to applicant's arguments. The examiner, therefore, maintains the position that said obviousness statement is proper and claims 1 and 3-5 remain rejected for the reasons noted above.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/727,906

Art Unit: 1773

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin R. Kruer whose telephone number is 571-272-1510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/727,906

Art Unit: 1773

Kevin R. Kruer

Patent Examiner-Art Unit 1773

Page 6